

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,725 01/26/2001		Li Yang	791_130	6015
	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
BURR & BRO PO BOX 7068				
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\mathcal{A}$			
Office Action Summary		09/770,725	YANG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	-1			
Period f	or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	nui the correspondence add	ress			
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this col BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8.133)	mmunication.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	June 2004.					
·		his action is non-final.					
3)	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is osed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on					
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		_				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · ·	* *	R 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an najority under 25 LLC C. S	S 110(a) (d) an (6)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 0.5.C. §) 119(a)-(u) or (t).				
a)	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nte have been received					
			maliantian Na				
				N			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure 		received in this National S	лаge			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received				
`	and account document of the document of a li-	,	TOUCIVOU.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Ir 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)			

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is responsive to the Appeal Brief filed on June 7, 2004 and addresses claims 1-17. Prosecution is hereby reopened and a new ground of rejection is applied to the claims. As such, this action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takami et al (U.S. Patent 6,350,544) in view of Watanabe et al (U.S. Patent 6,083,644).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Takami et al. is directed to a nonaqueous lithium secondary battery comprising a positive and negative electrode laminated through a separator (see abstract and Figure 1). Regarding claims 1, 3, 4, and 17, the positive electrode material is LiMn₂O₄, which has cubic spinel structure (see col. 4, line 49). Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, and 17, the negative electrode active material is a graphitized carbon fiber (see col. 7, line 40). Regarding claims 1, 2, and 17, the battery contains an electrolyte comprising lithium hexafluorophosphate (see col. 10, line 43).

Takami et al. do not expressly teach the water content of each electrode as recited in claims 1 and 17, or that the battery has a capacity of 2Ah or more, as recited in claims 8-11.

However, the latter limitation is not considered to distinguish over Takami because the claimed battery capacity merely represents the scaling of the absolute size of the battery of

Art Unit: 1746

Takami. Such large battery sizes are known to be useful in specific applications, such as in electric vehicles. Generally, changes in size are not considered to patentably distinguish over a reference (MPEP §2144.04(IV)).

Furthermore, in column 14, lines 48-52, Watanabe et al. teach that a positive electrode mixture and a negative electrode mixture both have moisture contents of 50 ppm or less.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the disclosure of Watanabe et al. would motivate the artisan to use electrodes having a moisture content of less than 50 ppm in the battery of Takami et al. In column 14, line 49 et seq., Watanabe et al. teach that it is "preferred... from the point of cycle property" that the electrodes have such a low moisture content. Additionally, the combined moisture content of the electrodes would inherently be lower than 5,000 ppm in case of heating the electrodes at 25 to 200°C, and lower than 1,500 ppm in case of heating at 200°C to 300°C, as recited in claims 1 and 17.

Regarding claims 12-16, which recite that the battery is used in an electric automobile, these claims do not have to be accorded patentable weight because they recite an intended use and do not further limit the structure of the battery (MPEP §2114).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive insofar as they relate to the present rejection. Regarding the Watanabe reference, Applicants assert that there is no indication that the moisture content feature of Watanabe would

Art Unit: 1746

have any significance in batteries which do not employ positive and negative active materials disclosed in Watanabe. However, it is submitted that the presence of moisture in lithium secondary batteries is a known problem that is appreciated by the prior art. For example, Kurose et al (U.S. Patent 6,361,822) discloses at column 2, line 14 that "[u]se of an active material in a state with a lot of absorbed moisture in battery causes problems such as decrease in a charge/discharge capacity of the battery, increase in internal resistance, and deterioration of the preservation property." As such, it is believed that the artisan would understand that the disclosure of Watanabe would be relevant to all lithium secondary batteries, including that of Takami.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1746

August 12, 2004